

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/032,700	12/28/2001	Paul T. Van Gompel	659-911	5367	
75	90 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
ANDREW D. STOVER			STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			3761		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/032,700	VAN GOMPEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacqueline F Stephens	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-40 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-6,9-24 and 27-37 is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-24 and 27-37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 7,8,25 and 26 is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) 7,8,25 and 26 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
	Jan	6-18-				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/5/02</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5-11, and 14-37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12-24, 27-35, and 37, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sauer USPN 5624428.

With regard to claims 1, 2, 5, 14, and 15, Sauer discloses a refastenable absorbent garment comprising: a first body panel 24 having a body side and a garment side; a second body panel 22 having a body side and a garment side; and a fastener member 36,38 attached to the body side of the first body panel and comprising a refastenable portion 44 formed on a garment side of the fastener member. The refastenable portion 42 releasably attached to the body side of the second body panel (Figures 1-3), wherein the refastenable portion directly engages the second body panel (Figures 2 and 3).

As to claims 3 and 4, see col. 7, lines 46-50.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 6, the fastener member comprises a first portion 42 attached to the body side of the first body panel and a second portion 44 releasably attached to the body side of the second body panel, wherein the first and second portions are hingedly connected (by hinge 46 -Figures 1-3 and col. 8, lines 55).

As to claim 9, the first portion 42 is bonded to the second portion 44 via the member 46 (Figure 3).

As to claim 10, regarding the limitations of a folded configuration, contact of the body panels, and contact of the first and second portion of the fasteners these limitations are directed to an intended use of the article. Intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim limitations. The invention of Sauer meets the structural limitations of claims 1 and 6 and is capable of being folded in the claimed configuration.

As to claim 12, see Figure 3.

As to claim 13, see col. 7, lines 46-50.

With regard to claims 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 24, 28, 29, 30, 34, 35, and 37 Sauer discloses a refastenable absorbent garment and inherently a method for providing such garment comprising: providing a first body panel 24 having a body side and a garment side, and an outboard side edge; a second body panel 22 having a body side and a garment side and an outboard side edge; a fastener member 36,38 comprising first and second portions each having an inboard and an outboard edge, wherein the first portion 42 is attached to the body side of the second body panel and the second portion 44 directly engages the second body panel (Figures 2 and 3) and the first and second portions are hingedly connected (by hinge 46 -Figures 1-3 and col. 8, lines 55).

As to claims 18, 23, 31, and 32, see col. 7, lines 46-50.

As to claims 19 and 33, see col. 7, lines 13-29.

As to claim 27, the first portion 42 is bonded to the second portion 44 via the member 46 (Figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3761

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sauer USPN 5624428. Sauer discloses the present invention substantially as claimed, except Sauer does not specifically disclose an adhesive fastener. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use an adhesive fastener as compared to a mechanical fastener, since applicant has not disclosed that the adhesive fastener solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with an adhesive or mechanical fastener.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7, 8, 25, and 26 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3761

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (571)272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,700

Art Unit: 3761

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacqueline F Stephens Examiner Art Unit 3761

February 28, 2005